Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/589,331	YOSHIDA, HIROYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lucas Stelling	1797	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Lucas Stelling</u> .	(3) <u>Walter Pledger, Esq.</u> .		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 May 2010	Time: <u>130</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: that of record			
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 9-11, 12, 23 and 26			
Prior art documents discussed: that of record			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
las 5-5-10			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that with the cancellation of claims 1, 2, 9-11, 23 and 26 that the application appeared in condition for allowance. An examiner's amendment cancelling claims was offered. However, upon further consideration and review claim 12 reads on Chervenak. As such it does not appear that the case is in condition for allowance, and the examiner's amendment is not entered and the case has been rejected.